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Optimizing biomethane production from anaerobic degradation of *Scenedesmus* spp. biomass harvested from algae-based swine digestate treatment
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**Abstract**

The objective of this work was to quantify biomethane from anaerobic degradation of microalgae biomass harvested from a field-scale tank reactor simulating phycoremediation of swine wastewater. The effects of nutrients starvation on microalgae chemical cellular composition changes and its influence on biomethane generation potential were also addressed. Microalgae polyculture was dominated by un-cultured *Scenedesmus* clone BF 063 which showed a carbohydrate, protein and lipid content of 27.6 ± 3.3, 57.6 ± 0.1 and 3.9 ± 0.6%, respectively. After 25 days exposed to N- and P-free medium, microalgae biomass composition showed 54.6 ± 2.6, 24.1 ± 2.4 and 16.9 ± 0.8% of carbohydrate, protein and lipid, respectively. Volatile solids concentration in the biomass harvested from N- and P-rich medium was lower [67 ± 1.7 g VS (kg biomass)]⁻¹ than biomass harvested from nutrient depleted medium [204.1 ± 3.1 g VS (kg biomass)]⁻¹. Consequently, much higher biomethane production was obtained i.e., 103.5 LN CH₄ (kg biomass)⁻¹ vs 44 LN CH₄ (kg biomass)⁻¹. The results suggest that biomethane production in digesters could be improved by integrating microalgae biomass harvested from algae-based swine wastewater digestate treatment.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Despite increasing interests on bioethanol and biodiesel production from microalgae (Hirano et al., 1997; Ho et al., 2011; Bruton et al., 2009; Singh and Olsen, 2011; Prajapati et al., 2013a), several studies point out that generation of biomethane is comparatively less complex and more cost-effective (González-Fernández et al., 2011; Dębowski et al., 2013). The significant amount of biodegradable components present in the microalgae such as carbohydrates, lipids and proteins makes it a favorable substrate for anaerobic digestion and production of methane (Schenk et al., 2008; Harun et al., 2010; Lakaniemi et al., 2011; Prajapati et al., 2013a). However, several studies indicated that economic feasibility of the process is attainable when microalgae biomass are harvested from wastewater treatment processes (Harun et al., 2010; Ward et al., 2014). In this regard, algae-based tertiary treatment approaches have been reported with great success (Prajapati et al., 2013a, b), reducing or even eliminating costs associated with nutrients and water that would be otherwise required for algae growth (Kebede-Westhead et al., 2006; Yen and Brune, 2007; Chinnasamy et al., 2010; Lakaniemi et al., 2011).

Methane production from biodegradation of microalgae has been extensively studied and it is commonly reported to range between 143 and 400 L CH₄ (kg VS)⁻¹ (for review, see Table 1). Variations in methane quantity are linked to microalgae species-specific differences in cellular chemical composition. For instance,
samples were observed under 1000x magnification (Eclipse E200-Nikon). A more precise method of microalgae identification was latter performed by targeting and sequencing 16S rRNA gene fragment from chloroplast (Mezzari et al., 2013). DNA was extracted with the MoBio® UltraClean Microbial DNA isolation kit according to manufacturer’s instructions (MoBio Laboratories, Solana Beach, CA). PCR amplification of the 16S rRNA gene fragments was performed in reactions containing 500 nmol of each universal primer 1055F 5'-ATGGCTGTCGTCAGCT-30 and 1392R 5'-ACGGGCGGTG TGTAC-30 primers (Ferris et al. 1996), 2 µL PCR Master mix (Quantifast SYBR® Green PCR kit, Qiagen, CA, USA) and DNA template obtained from the consortium. Thermocycler conditions were: denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 10 s and annealing at 60 °C for 30 s. PCR products were purified with PureLink® PCR Purification Kit (Invitrogen®) and cloned into pGEMT Easy Vector Systems (Promega®) according to manufacturer’s protocols. Cloned samples were inserted into JM109 competent cells (Promega, USA), according to manufacturer’s instructions using heat shock and plated on selective Luria–Bertani (LB) medium. Colonies containing plasmids with insert were selected on X-Gal (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and ampicillin (100 mg ml⁻¹) medium plates. Randomly selected positive colonies were allowed to grow in liquid media for plasmidial DNA extraction using a PureLink Quick Plasmid Kit (Invitrogen, USA). Clones were subjected to sequence analysis with an ABI 3730 sequencing system, using an ABI PRISM BigDye Terminator version 3.1 cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Sequenced products were purified with isopropanol/ethanol precipitation method prior to analysis (ABI Prism 3130 Avant sequencer, Applied Biosystems). Sequenced products were allowed to grow in liquid media for plasmidial DNA extraction using a PureLink Quick Plasmid Kit (Invitrogen, USA). Clones were subjected to sequence analysis with an ABI 3730 sequencing system, using an ABI PRISM BigDye Terminator version 3.1 cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Sequenced products were purified with isopropanol/ethanol precipitation method prior to analysis (ABI Prism 3130 Avant sequencer, Applied Biosystems). Trimmed sequences were aligned using Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) Infernal Aligner tool. Sequences were compared to each other using Basic Local Alignment Search Tool – BLAST® (blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov).

2.2. Growth

Microalgae were first acclimated in lab scale photobioreactors under mixotrophic conditions (Mezzari et al., 2013). Microalgae was then transferred to 400-L culturing media in 500-L circular tanks (121.2 cm Ø i.d.; 58.4 cm height) placed inside a greenhouse exposed to natural sunlight (321.5 ± 41.4 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) and controlled temperature of 30 °C. Approximately 70 mg L⁻¹ of microalgae dry weight concentration was used as inoculum (30% v/v). Experiments were batch fed using a diluted (6%/v/v) non-sterile digestate obtained from UASB effluent. Tanks were kept under continuous agitation using submersible aquarium pumps (S300, Sarlobetter®, Brazil). The effluent characteristics of the growth medium were (g L⁻¹): pH 7.7 ± 0.2, total phosphorus (160 ± 4.6), total solids (9.8 ± 0.2), total organic carbon (9.1 ± 0.4), alkalinity (19 ± 0.09 as CaCO₃), ammonia-N (750.4 ± 49.7), nitrite-N (4 ± 0.8) and turbidity (1063 ± 18.8 as nephelometric turbidity unit).

After 8-day following inoculation, grown microalgae biodegradation of microalgae with high lipid content is expected to produce significantly more methane [1014 L-CH₄ (kgVS)⁻¹] than protein- [851 L-CH₄ (kgVS)⁻¹] or carbohydrate-rich biomass [415 L-CH₄ (kgVS)⁻¹] (Angelidaki and Sanders, 2004; Becker, 2007). The physicochemical characteristics of the growth medium play a major role on microalgae intracellular composition changes. To illustrate, the intrinsic nitrogen and phosphorous-rich characteristics of swine wastewater digestate, used as growth medium for microalgae, is likely to stimulate intracellular storage of carbohydrate and/or protein at the expenses of lipid (Michelon et al., 2015; Bruton et al., 2009; Matsui and Koike, 2010; Prajapati et al., 2014). These reasonably sim-

<p>| Table 1 | Biomethane production from various microalgae harvested from different growth media and culturing conditions. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microalgae</th>
<th>Growth medium</th>
<th>Fermenter type</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>L-CH₄ (kg VS)⁻¹</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C. vulgaris</td>
<td>Anaerobic sludge</td>
<td>Batch</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>Lakanemi et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorella minutissima</td>
<td>Synthetic</td>
<td>Batch</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>Prajapati et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorella pyrenoidosa</td>
<td>Synthetic</td>
<td>Batch</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>265.5</td>
<td>Prajapati et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. vulgaris</td>
<td>Synthetic</td>
<td>Batch</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>Prajapati et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. kessleri</td>
<td>Synthetic</td>
<td>Batch</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>Mussnug et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorella spp. after lipids extraction</td>
<td>Synthetic</td>
<td>Batch</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>222–400</td>
<td>Ehimen et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorella spp. after lipids extraction</td>
<td>CSTR</td>
<td>25–40</td>
<td>188–308</td>
<td>Ehimen et al., 2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorella spp. after lipids extraction C/N ratio – 8.53</td>
<td>Synthetic</td>
<td>CSTR</td>
<td>25–40</td>
<td>192–265</td>
<td>Ehimen et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. vulgaris</td>
<td>Synthetic</td>
<td>CSTR</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>147–240</td>
<td>Ras et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. vulgaris</td>
<td>Synthetic</td>
<td>Fed-batch</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>Ras et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenedesmus spp.</td>
<td>Swine digestate</td>
<td>Batch</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenedesmus spp</td>
<td>N- and P-deprived</td>
<td>Batch</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Biomass was oven dried (Fanem 520, BR) at 105 °C for 5 h. The considerably high temperature used to dry the biomass was unlikely to affect cellular composition as previously demonstrated (Guldhe et al., 2014; Bagchi et al., 2015). Lipid was determined by high temperature solvent extraction method according to AOCS Am 5-04 (AOCS, 2013). Protein content was determined by rapid combustion followed by thermal conductivity measurement in a Leco FP-528 nitrogen/protein analyzer (LECO Corporation, USA) (AOAC, 1990). Ash content was determined according to the Brazilian Compendium of Animal Nutrition, method 36 (BCAA, 2009). Carbohydrate was estimated by subtracting lipid, protein and ash content from the total biomass weight (Bi and He, 2013). Volatile solids was measured according to APHA methods (APHA, 2012).

2.4. Theoretical methane production potential

Theoretical methane potential (TMP) was estimated according to the model followed by Sialve et al. (2009). The specific methane yield used to represent lipids, proteins, and carbohydrates contents were 1014 L-CH4 (kgVS)−1, 496 L-CH4 (kgVS)−1, and, 415 L-CH4 (kgVS)−1, respectively (Angelidaki and Sanders, 2004). TMP was estimated as follows:

\[
\text{TMP} = \frac{1}{100} \left( (A \times a_L) + (B \times b_P) + (C \times c_C) \right)
\]

where: A, B and C are the specific methane yields and \(a_L\), \(b_P\), \(c_C\) are the dry weight % of lipid, protein and carbohydrates, respectively.

2.5. Biomethane production

Seeding sludge (SS) used as inoculum for biodegradation tests was prepared by mixing 1/3 v/v of sludge obtained from UASB (EMBRAPA wastewater treatment facility, Concordia, SC, Brazil), 1/3 v/v sludge from UASB reactor treating wastewater effluent from a local gelatin industry, and 1/3 v/v fresh cattle manure. Mixing these different sources of inoculum served to warrant increased microbial diversity with a broad metabolic capability potential. The SS was kept under anaerobic conditions at 37 °C, constant stirring (60 rpm), and fed a mix of raw swine wastewater (75% w/w), dried and milled grass (15% w/w), milk powder (5% w/w) and vegetable oil (5% w/w) at loading rate of 0.3 g VS L−1. The inoculum used in the fermentative assays was starved for 10 days prior to beginning of the experiments to reduce the inoculum rest gas contribution (VDI, 2006).

Fermentation assays were performed according to Handbook of Verein Deutscher Ingenieure 4630 protocols (VDI, 2006). The amount of microalgae substrate used for fermentative assays was normalized to same volatile solids content among tests. All tests were conducted in triplicate using the automatic methane potential test system II (AMPTS II, Bioprocess Control, Sweden) in 500 mL glass reactors kept at 37 °C. Tests were conducted using microalgae biomass harvested after 8 days of cultivation and after 25 days of exposure to nutrient-free water. Negative controls were prepared using inoculum alone. Microcrystalline cellulose (Sigma®, Sigma®, USA) was used as internal standard. Methane gas volumes were normalized to atmospheric pressure (1 bar), 0 °C and zero moisture content.

2.6. Statistical analyses

All tests were conducted in triplicate and reported data presented as mean ± standard deviation. To determine if sets of data were significantly different from each other, data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with OriginPro 8. Significant differences were considered at the level of p < 0.05.

3. Results and discussion

Uncultured Scenedesmus clone BF 063 (97–99% identities, accession # KC994743.1) was dominant in the microalgae polyculture throughout the experimental time frame. The cellular composition of microalgae polyculture grown in nutrient-rich swine wastewater digestate effluent showed a carbohydrate, protein and lipid content of 27.6 ± 3.3, 57.6 ± 0.1 and 3.9 ± 0.6%, respectively (Fig. 1). These data were in agreement with previous reports that described carbohydrates, proteins and lipids contents in polyculture microalgae is typically within 8–56, 10–52, and 1.9–40%, respectively (Prajapati et al., 2013a). The measured low lipid content was probably associated with high nitrogen content present in swine wastewater digestate which is a nutrient required to induce cellular storage of protein and carbohydrates at the expense of lipids (Michelon et al., 2015; Bruton et al., 2009; Matsui and Koike, 2010; Prajapati et al., 2013a, b; Wahidin et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015). After 25 days of exposure to nutrient-free medium, microalgae biomass showed 54.6 ± 2.6, 241 ± 2.4 and 16.9 ± 0.5% of carbohydrate, protein and lipid, respectively (Fig. 1). Volatile solids (VS) contents of 67 ± 1.7 and 204 ± 3.1 g VS (kg biomass)−1 were obtained from microalgae grown on N- and P-rich and N- and P-deficient media, respectively.

The positive experimental control using microcrystalline cellulose substrate produced a cumulative biomethane value of 385.7 ± 12 LN (kgVS)−1, which was within the expected range [366 LN (kgVS)−1]. According to Wang et al. (2014), this range assures

![Fig. 1. Chemical composition of microalgae biomass harvested after 8 days of phcoremediation of nutrient-rich swine digestate and after 25 days of exposure to N- and P-free water. Asterisks indicate statistical differences (p < 0.05).](image-url)
effectiveness of mesophilic inoculum activity and fermentative assay accuracy. Anaerobic degradation of polyculture microalgae biomass harvested from N- and P-rich media, produced a total biomethane volume of \(389 \pm 27 \text{ L}_N \text{ (kgVS)}^{-1}\) [TMP of 400 \(\text{ LN (kgVS)}^{-1}\)] (Fig. 2A). Biomethane produced by the degradation of microalgae biomass harvested from N- and P-deficient medium reached \(320 \pm 8 \text{ LN (kgVS)}^{-1}\) [TMP of 346 \(\text{ LN (kgVS)}^{-1}\)]. A plausible explanation for the higher methane yield per VS could be associated with high protein content verified in microalgae biomass harvested from N- and P-rich medium (Fig. 1). Proteins and lipids are known to produce significant amounts of methane however, proteins have a faster conversion rate than biodegradable complex lipids (Marsh et al., 2005; Lalak et al., 2015). This could be explained by the difference in biomethane specific rate (Fig. 2B).

It is worth noting that the concentration of biomethane measured in this study was in the upper range of typical values described in the literature for other microalgae strains and culturing conditions (Table 1). TMP were above measured data for both substrates tested. This was expected considering that TMP is incapable to encompass the entire microorganism catabolic requirements to fully and efficiently convert all complex substrates into methane. In this case, longer retention times would be needed. This clearly emphasizes the needs for fermentative assays using site-specific substrates and inoculum sources to avoid biogas misreading at field-scale operations.

Microalgae harvested from nutrient-free medium needed extended hydraulic retention times (>25 days vs < 10 days) in order to reach full biomethane production plateau (Fig. 2A). This suggests that changes in cellular composition could be accompanied by adverse effects on microalgae biodegradability. Therefore, to enhance digestibility of algal biomass, some attempts have been made with relative success including enzymatic, chemical, mechanical and/or thermal pretreatment methods (Alzate et al., 2012; Gonzalez-Fernández et al., 2012; Ho et al., 2013; Passos et al., 2014). Despite the observed enhancement in biogas production through the use of any of these methods however, the cost and energy inputs involved in such pretreatments are usually high and should be considered. Alternatively to these methods, increasing C/N ratio in the culturing medium could also aid optimizing algae digestibility (Prajapati et al., 2014).

From operational point of view, the use of microalgae biomass harvested from algae-based swine tertiary treatment system and starved from N and P nutrients for 25 days produced considerably more biomethane (i.e., 57%) per kg of microalgae biomass than microalgae biomass harvested after 8 days of phycoremediation i.e., \(103.5 \pm 1.7 \text{ vs } 44 \pm 2.5 \text{ L-CH}_4 \text{ (kg biomass)}^{-1}\) (Fig. 2C). Fig. 3 shows a hypothetical algae-based swine wastewater treatment process integrated to anaerobic digestion. Low turbidity, nutrient-free water obtained at the end of swine wastewater phycoremediation process (Mezzari et al., 2014) could be recycled into microalgae cultivation systems for dilution of the high strength raw digestate; for inducing changes in microalgae cellular composition (Michelon et al., 2015); as well as for reuse in the farm. The harvested biomass could be added into biodigesters to increase biomethane production.

4. Conclusions

Biomethane was measured from anaerobic biodegradation of microalgae harvested from phycoremediation of swine-wastewater digestate. Microalgae polyculture dominated by Scenedesmus spp. grown on N- and P-rich swine wastewater digestate showed carbohydrate, protein and lipid content of 27.6 ± 3.3, 57.6 ± 0.1 and 3.9 ± 0.6%, respectively. After 25 of exposure to N- and P-free medium, microalgae biomass showed 54.6 ± 2.6, 24.1 ± 2.4 and 16.9 ± 0.8% of carbohydrate, protein and lipid, respectively. The concentration of VS in the microalgae exposed to N- and P-free...